2

4

5

6

1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7

9

8 ISAAC L. SANDOVAL,

No. C 08-01117 CW (PR)

Petitioner,

ORDER OF TRANSFER

v.

11

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

192021

2223

2425

2627

28

A. HEDGPETH, Warden,

Respondent.

Petitioner, a state prisoner, has filed a <u>pro se</u> petition for a writ of habeas corpus. He seeks leave to proceed <u>in forma</u>

<u>pauperis</u> (IFP).

Federal statute allows "the Supreme Court, any justice thereof, the district courts and any circuit judge" to grant writs of habeas corpus "within their respective jurisdictions." 28 U.S.C. § 2241(a). A federal petition for a writ of habeas corpus made by a person in custody under the judgment and sentence of a State court is properly filed in either the district of confinement or the district of conviction. Id. § 2241(d). Where a case is filed in the wrong venue, the district court has the discretion to transfer it to the proper federal court "in the interest of justice." See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

Here, Petitioner challenges a conviction and sentence incurred in Sacramento County Superior Court, which is in the venue of the

Eastern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 84. Accordingly, that is the proper venue for this action.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a) and Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b), and in the interest of justice, the Clerk of the Court is ordered to TRANSFER this action forthwith to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

Petitioner's IFP application is TERMINATED as moot. IT IS SO ORDERED.

7/3/08 Dated:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

26

27

28

Case 4:08-cv-01117-CW

Document 4

Filed 07/03/2008

Page 3 of 3